Grid-connected Response Verification of AC Microgrid under Single Line-to-ground Short Circuit
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ABSTRACT

In design of power systems, assumptions are made to model the physical systems. The assumptions may not sufficiently reflect the behavior of the system under normal and faulted conditions. Under short circuit conditions, system parameters vary significantly, particularly in microgrids with grid interconnection capabilities. This paper presents the result of validating the response of a microgrid which is capable of grid interconnection and islanding under voltage and reactive power control regimes. The microgrid is modeled to incorporate two wind turbines, each rated 5.5 kW, 400 V. The utility has synchronous generator rated 100 MW, 13.8 kV. Both the utility and microgrid are capable of exchanging active power and reactive power. Single line-to-ground short circuits are introduced and withdrawn at 30.00 s and 32.00 s, respectively. The dynamic responses of the testbed are captured pre-, during- and post-short circuit in grid-connected mode under both control regimes. The response of the testbed is verified to be consistent with established short circuit theory, verifying the validity of the system for short circuit detection and analysis. The testbed can therefore be used for short circuit and related studies, design optimization and power system performance prediction.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Power systems require optimal operation in order to meet declared demand and system losses. In addition to input variables, the yield from a power system depends on the frequency of shut down occasioned by scheduled maintenance and abnormal conditions such as short circuits [1]–[3]. In a microgrid, the most frequent short circuit is single line-to-ground (see Fig. 1) [4]. Generally, short circuits result in low impedance and progressive insulation failure and consequent system damage if the short circuit is not interrupted speedily. For optimum system operation, control and protective devices are required. While control devices monitor system variables in order to make control decisions depending on preset values [5], protective devices monitor system variables in order to isolate requisite sections of the system when conditions dictate [6,7]. Protective devices are employed to detect and isolate the minimum faulted segment of the system. A protective device includes two components: detection and isolation networks. The detection network detects onset of abnormal conditions while the isolation network isolates the minimum faulted segment of the power system so as to minimize interruption of service to the consumer. Specific functions of protective devices include:

(i) Minimizing damage and repair cost in the event of a fault in the system.
(ii) Safeguarding the system to ensure supply continuity.
(iii) Safety of system personnel [8–12].
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Fig. 1. Simple classification of short circuits

2. MOTIVATION

Statutorily, every protective device is expected to have high reliability, low cost, high speed of response, capability to distinguish between normal and abnormal segments of the power system, and have sufficient sensitivity to faults [13]. This work is motivated by the need to ensure that the testbed is valid for system studies. This paper therefore presents verification of the responses of the microgrid testbed to single line-to-ground short circuit in grid-connected mode under voltage and reactive power control regimes using dynamic analysis. Dynamic analysis depicts the sub-transient, transient and steady-state variation of critical parameters of the system [14]. Design of engineering systems require performance prediction and optimization using system models [15–18].

3. MODELING OF THE SYSTEM

The testbed is modeled to operate under two control strategies; voltage (V) and reactive power (Q) controls. While the controller maintains 4% droop under V control, it maintains constant reactive power at the grid under Q control even when the system is stressed with short circuit(s). The microgrid consists of two wind turbines (WTs) as microsources servicing two local loads. The testbed is modeled to operate under two coupling (PCC) via a distribution feeder (see Fig. 2). The PCC allows exchange of resources (active power and reactive power) between the utility and the microgrid. The three-phase stator voltage of each WT is transformed to stationary dc reference frame using Edith Clarke’s transformer presented in equation (1). A multivariable fuzzy rule-based (MFR) relay is modeled using two sub-relays: microsource sub-relay and feeder sub-relay. The MFR relay is embedded for detection of single line-to-ground (SLG) short circuit (SC) and consequent tripping of requisite circuit breaker.

\[
v_{a'b'y}(t) = \frac{2}{3}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \sqrt{3} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} v_a(t) \\ v_b(t) \\ v_c(t) \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)
\]

where,

\(v_{a'b'y}(t)\) is a vector representing the \(\alpha, \beta\) and \(y\) components of the transformed voltage.

\(v_a(t), v_b(t)\) and \(v_c(t)\) represent components of voltage in \(abc\) reference frame.
4. RESULTS

4.1 Simulation of Short Circuits and System Responses

Fig. 3 presents the nominal response of WT1 during normal operation in grid-connected mode under both control strategies. In the figure, the three-phase active power [P(W)] in Watts and three-phase reactive power [Q(var)] in var are presented.

Fig. 3 presents response of WT1 under normal operating conditions. Note that the active power generated is 92% of nominal rating due to the prevailing wind input at 50.00 simulation second. In both control regimes, the reactive power absorption at 50.00 second is less than 20 var. Response of the microsource sub-relay is 1 (open) between 0 to 9.0 simulation seconds and 0 (closed) thereafter. The initial open response of the MFR sub-relay is occasioned by high initial starting current of both WT1 and the synchronous generator in the utility. This could be prevented by modeling a 10-second delay in the MFR sub-relay.

When the PCC is closed to allow grid interconnection for exchange of resources, phase-a SLG SC is applied at 30.00 seconds and withdrawn at 32.00 seconds. The dynamic response of the system depicting sub-transient, transient and steady-state is captured. During these states, the three phase WT1 stator voltage in stationary dc reference frame and currents under SLG SC, in both voltage and reactive power control regimes, are presented (Fig. 4 to Fig. 14).
Fig. 4 presents response of the utility to SLG SC applied at terminals of WT1 in the microgrid. Observe that the per unit active power, per unit reactive power, and phase currents are unperturbed by the disturbance in the microgrid due to the large inertia in the utility. This indicates that the utility provides low voltage ride-through (LVRT) support to the microgrid [19, 20].

In Figs. 5 and 6, both active power and reactive power are unperturbed by the short circuit in both control regimes due to the support from the utility since the system is in grid-connected mode. However, the alpha component of the voltage is disrupted, resulting in open response from the MFR sub-relay during SC. In both figures, the feeder sub-relay responds with a 0 (open), indicating selectivity between microsource sub-relay and feeder sub-relay in response to microsource SC.

When the microgrid is grid-connected and the large-inertia utility generator is stressed with SLG SC, it provokes frequency oscillation and large voltage drop in the utility resulting in reactive power oscillation in the microgrid under V control regime (Fig. 9). Under the same stress condition but in reactive power control regime, the reactive power source in the microgrid is able to support it through the stress, resulting in non-response of the microsource sub-relay (Fig. 10).

Contrary to the response obtained in Figs. 9 and 10, when similar utility SC is applied, the feeder responds with virulent oscillation of critical parameters in both control regimes (Figs. 11 and 12). The feeder lacks reactive power management components, resulting in high-severity oscillation of the critical parameters with a potential for sustained oscillation in both control regimes.

When the grid-connected microgrid is subjected to cross-country (both microgrid and utility disturbance) SLG SC, the WT1 responds with sustained oscillation of reactive power at the onset of SC in voltage control regime (Fig. 13). In reactive power control regime, the WT1 responds with reactive power compensation sufficient to dampen oscillation and maintain steady-state operation during- and post-SC (Fig. 14). In this control regime the voltage is perturbed, resulting in detection by the microsource sub-relay.
Fig. 5. Response of the WT1 and associated devices when SLG SC is applied at WT1 from 30.00 s to 32.00 s (V control)

Fig. 6. Response of the WT1 and associated devices when SLG SC is applied at WT1 from 30.00 s to 32.00 s (Q control)

Fig. 7. Response of feeder-a to SLG SC at terminals of WT1 (V control)
Fig. 8. Response of feeder-a to SLG SC at terminals of WT1 in islanded mode (Q control)

Fig. 9. Response of the WT1 and associated devices when SLG SC is applied at utility generator terminal from 30.00 s to 32.00 s (V control)

Fig. 10. Response of the WT1 and associated devices when SLG SC is applied at utility generator terminal from 30.00 s to 32.00 s (Q control)
Fig. 11. Response of feeder-a to SLG SC at terminal of utility generator (V control)

Fig. 12. Response of feeder-a to SLG SC at terminal of utility generator (Q control)

Fig. 13. Response of the WT1 and associated devices when SLG SC cross-country is applied at utility-microgrid generator terminals from 30.00 s to 32.00 s (V control)
Fig. 14. Response of the WT1 and associated devices when SLG SC cross-country is applied at utility-microgrid generator terminals from 30.00 s to 32.00 s (Q control)

5. DISCUSSION

In stress-free operating condition, WT1 or WT2 generates 5.114 kW which represents 92% of its nominal active power, independent of control regime. Generally, reactive power demand is more in V control than in Q control, indicating that the internal capacitor bank of each WT supports its reactive demand. This is indicative of superior reactive power management under Q control than under V control. In Figs. 5 and 6, both active power and reactive power are unperturbed by the short circuit in both control regimes due to the support from the utility since the system is in grid-connected mode. However, the alpha component of the voltage is disrupted, resulting in open response from the MFR sub-relay during SC. The post-SC response of the relay closes requisite circuit breaker (circuit breaker is not modeled in this work). The utility support enables the microgrid to ride through attending frequency oscillation and low voltage occasioned by the short circuit stress. When the utility support is withdrawn, the microgrid exhibits perturbation to SC stress in islanded mode (Figs. 7 and 8). In both figures, the feeder sub-relay responds with a 0 (open), indicating selectivity between microsource sub-relay and feeder sub-relay in response to microsource SC.

In Fig. 13 when the grid-connected microgrid is subjected to cross-country SLG SC, the WT1 responds with sustained oscillation of reactive power at onset of SC in voltage control regime. However, in reactive power control regime, the WT1 responds with reactive power compensation (from its reactive var source) sufficient to dampen oscillation and maintain steady-state operation during- and post-SC (Fig. 14). In this control regime the voltage is perturbed, resulting in detection by the microsource sub-relay [21]–[24].

6. CONCLUSION

The testbed under validation in this work is modeled to operate under two control regimes. The testbed is investigated to examine performance of the utility-enabled microgrid under both normal and faulted operating conditions. The results of tests conducted by simulating 2-second single line-to-ground short circuit shows that the four critical parameters vary in a manner and magnitude that is consistent with short circuit theories. In both control strategies, active power is disrupted when under short circuit, indicating stress on both the wind turbine and the synchronous generator. The reactive power responds with improved performance under reactive power control regime than under voltage control regime, indicative of validity of the testbed. Similarly, the dynamic response of current and voltage parameters typifies real power system response under stress. Thus, dynamic response of the testbed is determined pre-, during- and post–short circuit under both control regimes. The response is shown to be consistent, symptomatic of a valid testbed suitable for short circuit analysis in a microgrid capable of grid connection. The result
of this study shows that the dynamic response of the testbed to single line-to-ground short circuits is therefore verified to be valid and consistent with established short circuit theory.
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